2025 Hazard Mitigation Plan

Onondaga County, New York

> Town of Otisco Annex



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. HA	AZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM	1
2. MU	UNICIPAL PROFILE	1
2.1.	Population	1
2.2.	History and Cultural Resources	2
3. GR	ROWTH/DEVELOPMENT TRENDS	2
3.1.	Changes in Priority	3
4. CA	PABILITY ASSESSMENT	3
4.1.	Planning and Regulatory Capabilities	4
4.2.	Administrative and Technical Capabilities	6
4.3.	Fiscal Capabilities	7
4.4.	Education and Outreach Capabilities	7
4.5.	Community Classifications	8
4.6.	Self-Assessment of Capability	8
4.7.	Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities	9
5. NA	ATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM	9
5.1.	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	9
5.2.	Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property	10
5.3.	Participation Activities	11
5.3	.1. Regulatory	11
6. HA	AZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION	12
6.1.	Existing Plan Integration	13
6.2.	Potential Future Integration	13
7. SIC	GNIFICANT HAZARD PAST EVENTS	13
8. HA	AZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT	14
8.1.	Future Major Assets	17
9. CR	RITICAL FACILITIES FLOOD RISK	18
10.	HAZARD RISK RANKING	18
11.	MITIGATION ACTIONS	21
APPEN	DIX A. HAZARD MAPS	30
APPEN	DIX B. LETTER OF INTENT	31
APPEN	DIX C PLAN ADOPTION	32



This Annex details the hazard mitigation elements specific to the Town of Otisco, a participating jurisdiction to the 2025 Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan update. This Annex is not intended to be a standalone document but supplements the information contained in **Volume 1** (**Countywide Planning Elements**). Therefore, all sections of **Volume 1** including the planning process, hazard identification and risk assessment, mitigation strategy (includes mitigation goals and objectives), and plan maintenance apply to and were met by the Town of Otisco. This Annex provides additional information specific to the Town, with a focus on providing additional details on the hazard risk assessment and mitigation strategy (i.e., mitigation actions) for this community.

1. HAZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM

The following individuals have been identified as the Town of Otisco Local Planning Team for the 2025 Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan. These individuals participated in all aspects of the planning process and developed a risk and vulnerability assessment, capability assessment, and mitigation strategy (including mitigation actions) specific to the jurisdiction.

Name	Title	Department
Glenn Hall	Town Supervisor	Town of Otisco Board
Tyler Knapp	Town Councilman	Town of Otisco Board
Jesse Spaulding	Deputy Town Supervisor and Councilor	Town of Otisco Board

2. MUNICIPAL PROFILE

The Town of Otisco is located in the southwestern part of Onondaga County and has a total area of 31.2 square miles. Amber Brook flows into Otisco Lake by the hamlet of Amber. Bear Mountain in the northeast of the Town is known for the blue hole, a water hole on the mountain known for swift currents and waterfalls. New York State Route 80 is a north-south highway in the Town and a short part of US Route 20 crosses the northeast corner of the Town. The Town of Otisco is bordered to the north by the towns of Marcellus and Onondaga, to the south by the Town of Tully, to the east by the Town of Lafayette, and to the west by the Town of Sanford.

There are several communities located within the Town – Amber (hamlet), Bay Shores (hamlet), Gamble Mill (hamlet), Maple Grove (hamlet), Otisco, Otisco Valley, Rice Grove, and Williams Grove.

2.1. Population

In 2023, the Town of Otisco had a population of 2,494, a 1.7% decrease from the estimated 2018 population of 2,537. **Table 1** summarizes population distribution between 2010 and 2023, and the percentage of the 2023 population that is under five (5) years old, over 65 years old, and living below poverty level.



Population				Unc	lerserved Populati	on
2010 ¹	20182	20233	Population Change (2018 – 2023)	Youth ³ (Under 5 years old)	Elderly ³ (Over 65 years old)	Below Poverty Level ⁴
2,532	2.537	2.494	-1 7%	5.7%	21.1%	4 5%

Table 1. Population Trends

2.2. History and Cultural Resources

The area became part of the former Military Tract of Central New York State which consisted of nearly two (2) million acres of land that was set aside to compensate New York's soldiers after their participation in the Revolutionary War (American War of Independence). The Town was first settled by outsiders around 1798, and permanent settlement began around 1801. The Town of Otisco was formed in 1806 from parts of the towns of Marcellus, Pompey and Tully.

3. GROWTH/DEVELOPMENT TRENDS

Understanding development trends can help evaluate whether the jurisdiction's vulnerability has increased, decreased, or remained the same. **Table 2** summarizes the total housing units built in the Town of Otisco between 2019 and 2023.⁵

Type	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Single-Family Units	0	0	0	0	0
Multi-Family Units	0	0	0	0	0
2-Family Units	0	0	0	0	0
3-Family Units	0	0	0	0	0
Apartment Units	0	0	0	0	0
Total Units	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2. Housing Units Build (2019 – 2023)

The Onondaga County Housing Needs Assessment, a component of the County's Comprehensive Plan, explores the County's housing market and its challenges in greater depth and argues that one of the County's greatest housing needs is an improved approach to land use planning. In the Assessment, it is stated that there are similarities and affinities between certain groups of municipalities. Therefore, the County was sub-divided into seven (7) sub-regions, each of which covers multiple municipalities. The municipalities within each sub-region, share sufficient geographic and market characteristics to be treated as a single place for purposes of further understanding the county housing market.

¹ United States Census Bureau. (2010). DP05: ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates (2010: 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2010.DP05?g=060XX00US3606755651.

² United States Census Bureau. (2018). DP05: ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates (2018: 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2018.DP05?g=060XX00US3606755651.

³ United States Census Bureau. (2023). DP05: ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates (2023: 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2023.DP05?g=060XX00US3606755651.

⁴ United States Census Bureau. (2023). S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months (2023: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2023.S1701?g=060XX00US3606755651.

⁵ Data provided by the Onondaga County Department of Planning based on Real Property Data (2024).



The Town of Otisco is in the Rural Countryside sub-region. This sub-region has a greater proportion of married couple families, both elderly (17%) and non-elderly (65%), and relatively fewer single parent families and people living alone. Total household growth between 2000 and 2020 was 8.8% (the average of all the County towns/villages was 12.0%). Growing demand in the County would likely support continued large-lot homebuilding within the towns. Villages, without a clear market for aging housing in mostly remote locations, would slowly continue on their early 20th Century trajectory. If household growth in the towns within this sub-region grew sufficiently, it could encourage development of some additional commercial uses. Under a low-growth scenario, it is likely that the towns within Rural Countryside could continue to add large-lot houses in a rural setting, though construction would likely slow. Market changes would happen gradually, with strong areas remaining strong for a period of time.

The Town of Otisco has ample land available for new construction and development; however, there is currently limited interest in major developments or large-scale construction within the Town. **Table 3** summarizes major recent residential/commercial development (in the past five (5) years), and any known or anticipated major residential/commercial development and major infrastructure development, as of December 2024, that is likely to occur within hazard prone areas in the next five (5) years.

Table 3. Growth and Development

Property or Development Name	Location	Type (e.g., residential, commercial)	# of Units/ Structures	Known Hazard Zone(s)	Status of Development		
Recent Development in the Past Five (5) Years (2019 – 2024)							
The Town l	The Town has not experienced significant development in hazard prone areas over the past five (5) years.						
Known or Anticipated Development in the Next Five (5) Years (2024 – 2029)							
The Town does not anticipate significant development in hazard prone areas over the next five (5) years.							

3.1. Changes in Priority

The Town of Otisco did not participate in the previous iteration of the Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan; therefore, a change in priority for the Town includes ensuring participation in hazard mitigation initiatives and implementation of this Hazard Mitigation Plan throughout other Town planning mechanism. Additionally, a more concerted effort on achieving equitable outcomes for all communities, including underserved communities and socially vulnerable populations, has been implemented in the mitigation actions identified for this Plan.

4. CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

Federal regulations require hazard mitigation plans to identify goals for reducing long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards in the planning area (Section 201.6(c)(3)(i)). A critical step in the development of specific hazard mitigation actions and projects is assessing existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources and capabilities to use or modify local tools to reduce losses and vulnerability from profiled hazards.

A capability assessment was conducted for the Town of Otisco's authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Goals and mitigation actions were developed using input from this assessment. Information regarding the Town's implementation of and continued participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) can be found in Section 5 of this Annex.

The Local Planning Team assessed the Town's capabilities that can contribute to the reduction of long-term vulnerabilities to hazards. The capabilities include the following categories:



- Planning and Regulatory Capabilities
- Administrative and Technical Capabilities
- Fiscal Capabilities
- Education and Outreach Capabilities

Additionally, ways to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs to integrate hazard mitigation into the day-to-day activities and programs of the Town were considered.

4.1. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

Table 4 includes local ordinances, policies, and laws to manage growth and development (e.g., land use plans, capital improvement plans, transportation plans, emergency preparedness and response plans, building codes, and zoning ordinances).

Table 4. Planning and Regulatory Tools

Capability Category	Yes/No	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Responsible Department/ Agency	Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.)					
	Planning Capability								
Comprehensive Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Capital Improvements Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Floodplain Management / Basin Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Stormwater Management Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Open Space Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Stream Corridor Management Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Watershed Management or Protection Plan	Yes	County	Otisco Lake Watershed Intermunicipal Committee (Onondaga County)	Otisco Lake Watershed Management Plan (2014)					
Economic Development Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Emergency Operation Plan	Yes	Local	Town Board	Undergoing update in 2025					
Evacuation Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A					



Capability Category	Yes/No	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Responsible Department/ Agency	Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.)
Transportation Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Strategic Recovery Planning Report	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Climate Adaptation Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Resilience Plan	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Plans:	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Regulatory C	apability	
Building Code	Yes	State, Local	Code Enforcement	Chapter 16 of the New York State Building Code Local Law #3 of the Town Code
Zoning Ordinance	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subdivision Ordinance	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
NFIP Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance	Yes	Local	Code Enforcement	Local Law #19 of the Town Code
NFIP: Cumulative Substantial Damages	Yes	Local	Code Enforcement	Local Law #19 of the Town Code
NFIP: Freeboard	Yes	Local, State	Code Enforcement	Chapter 16 of the New York State Building Code State mandated two (2) feet above the BFE for all construction, both residential and non-residential.
Growth Management Ordinances	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Site Plan Review Requirements	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Stormwater Management Ordinance	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Natural Hazard Ordinance	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Real Estate Disclosure Requirement	Yes	State	New York State Department of State, Real Estate Agent	New York Code – Article 14 §460- 467 (Property Condition Disclosure Act)



4.2. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

The administrative and technical capabilities, listed in **Table 5**, include community (i.e., public and private) staff and their skills and tools, which can be used for mitigation planning and implementation. This capability includes engineers, planners, emergency managers, Geographic Information System (GIS) analysts, building inspectors, grant writers, and floodplain managers. Small communities may rely on other government entities, such as counties or special districts, for resources.

Table 5. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

Capability	Yes/No	Position/Department/Agency
Admin	nistrative Capa	ability
Planning Board	No	N/A
Mitigation Planning Committee	Yes	Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan Local Planning Team
Environmental Board/Commission	No	N/A
Open Space Board/Committee	No	N/A
Economic Development Commission/Committee	No	N/A
Maintenance programs to reduce risk	No	N/A
Mutual aid agreements	No	N/A
Technic	al/Staffing Ca _l	pability
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Yes	Code Enforcement Officer Highway Superintendent, Highway Department
Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Yes	Code Enforcement Officer
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	Yes	Town Board
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes	Code Enforcement Officer
Surveyor(s)	No	N/A
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications	Yes	Town Board
Scientist familiar with natural hazards	No	N/A
Warning systems/services	Yes	Onondaga County Emergency Communications (911)
Emergency Manager	Yes	Town Board
Grant writer(s)	Yes	Town Clerk Deputy Town Clerk Town Board
Staff with expertise or training in benefit/cost analysis	No	N/A
Professionals trained in conducting damage assessments	No	N/A



4.3. Fiscal Capabilities

Table 6 contains a list of fiscal capabilities available to the Town that may be used to implement mitigation activities to reduce risk and enhance resiliency. This capability includes available funding sources from local budgets, state and federal grants, potential cost-sharing arrangements with private entities, existing insurance policies, and the ability to generate additional revenue through fees and bonds related to mitigation.

Table 6. Fiscal Capabilities

Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to Use
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG, CDBG-DR)	Yes
Federal Hazard Mitigation Assistance Program (i.e., Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program)	Yes
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Yes
Authority to Levy Taxes for specific purposes	Yes
User fees for water, sewer, gas or electric service	Yes
Impact fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes	Yes
Stormwater Utility Fee	Yes
Incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes
Incur debt through private activity bonds	No
Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas	No
Other federal or state funding programs	Yes
Open Space Acquisition funding programs	No

4.4. Education and Outreach Capabilities

Table 7 lists the Town's education and public outreach capabilities that can be used to inform residents about potential hazards, educate on mitigation strategies, and encourage proactive actions to reduce the community's impacts to disasters. These capabilities include fire safety programs, hazard awareness campaigns, public information, and communications offices.

Table 7. Education and Outreach Resources

Resource	Yes/No	Position/Department/Agency
Public Information Officer	Yes	Town Supervisor Code Enforcement Officer
Personnel skilled or trained in website development	Yes	Town Supervisor
Hazard mitigation information available on the jurisdiction's website	Yes	
Utilize social media for hazard mitigation education	Yes	The Town relies on the Otisco Fire Department and Amber Fire Department social media accounts.



Resource	Yes/No	Position/Department/Agency
Citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation	No	N/A
Other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information	No	N/A
An established warning system for hazard events	Yes	Onondaga County Emergency Communications (911) Otisco Fire Department Billboard Amber Fire Department Billboard

4.5. Community Classifications

The community classification relates to the community's ability to provide effective services to lessen its vulnerability to the hazards identified. These classifications can be viewed as a gauge of the community's capabilities in all phases of emergency management (i.e., preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation) and are used as an underwriting parameter for determining the costs of various forms of insurance. **Table 8** summarizes classifications for community programs available to the Town of Otisco.

Table 8. Community Classifications

Program	Yes/No	Classification (if applicable)	Date Classified (if applicable)
Community Rating System (CRS)	No	N/A	N/A
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)	No	N/A	N/A
Public Protection (ISO Fire Protection Classes 1 to 10)	No	N/A	N/A
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Climate Smart Community	No	N/A	N/A
Storm Ready Certification	No	N/A	N/A
Firewise Communities classification	No	N/A	N/A
Natural disaster/safety programs in/for schools	No	N/A	N/A
Organizations with mitigation focus (advocacy group, non-government)	No	N/A	N/A
Public-private partnership initiatives addressing disaster-related issues	No	N/A	N/A

4.6. Self-Assessment of Capability

The community classification relates to the community's ability to provide effective services to lessen its vulnerability to the hazards identified. These classifications can be viewed as an indicator of the community's capabilities in all phases of emergency management (i.e., preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation) and are used as an underwriting parameter for determining the costs of various forms of insurance. **Table 9** summarizes classifications for community programs available to the Town of Otisco.



Table 9. Self-Assessment Capability for the Municipality

	Degree of Hazard Mitigation Capability				
Capability Area	Limited (If limited, what are your obstacles?)	Moderate	High		
Planning and Regulatory Capabilities		X			
Administrative and Technical Capabilities		X			
Fiscal Capabilities		X			
Education and Outreach Capabilities		X			
Community Political Capabilities		X			
Community Resiliency Capabilities		X			
Capability to integrate mitigation into municipal processes and activities		X			

4.7. Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities

Based on the capability self-assessment in Section 4.6, the Town of Otisco identified existing authorities, policies, programs, funding, and/or resources that need to be expanded and/or improved in order to support the implementation of the hazard mitigation initiatives identified in this Plan (e.g., mitigation actions).

- Building and fire prevention codes and ordinances should be reviewed updated based on developing
 trends in identified hazards and mitigation measures that can make them more effective at preventing
 losses. Building codes should comply with the latest international and state building codes.
- The Town's Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) is undergoing update in 2025 and the EOP intends to expand/enhance education and outreach efforts, strengthen administrative and technical capabilities, and improve the hazard mitigation implementation process for the Town.

5. NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

The Town of Otisco is a member of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) but has chosen to not participate in the NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) Program. The Town is in good standing with the NFIP through adoption and enforcement of floodplain management requirements (e.g., regulating all new and substantially improved construction in Special Hazard Flood Areas), floodplain identification and mapping, and flood insurance outreach to the community. The Town's NFIP participation information is listed in **Table 10**.

Table 10. NFIP Participation Information

CID	NFIP Participation Date	Current Effective FIRM Date	CRS Entry Date	CRS Current Effective Date	CRS Rating
360589	5/31/1974	11/4/2016	N/A	N/A	N/A

5.1. NFIP Floodplain Administrator

All NFIP participating jurisdictions have a designated Floodplain Administrator that is charged with enforcing floodplain regulations, routinely monitoring the floodplains, and providing community assistance such as encouraging owners to maintain flood insurance. The Town of Otisco Floodplain Administrator information is listed in **Table 11**.



Table 11. Floodplain Administrator

Name	Title	Department	Phone Number
Timothy Cusick	Code Enforcement Officer	Code Enforcement	(315) 877-2024

5.2. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property

FEMA defines a Repetitive Loss property as an NFIP-insured property meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:

- Four (4) or more separate claims payments greater than \$5,000 each (including building and contents payment).
- Two (2) or more separate flood insurance claims payments (building payments only), where the total of the payments is greater than the property's current value.

Additionally, to receive a designation, at least two (2) of the claim payments must occur within 10 years of one another.⁶

A Severe Repetitive Loss property is defined by FEMA as any NFIP-insured single-family or multi-family residential building meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978 or from building constructed after 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:⁷

- That has incurred flood-related damage for which four (4) or more separate claims payments have been made, with the amount of each claim (including building and contents payments) exceeding \$5,000, and with the cumulative amount of such claims payments exceeding \$20,000.
- For which at least two (2) separate claims payments (building payments only) have been made under such coverage, with the cumulative amount of such claims exceeding the market value of the building.

Table 12 summarizes FEMA Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss properties within the Town of Otisco.

Hazard Mitigation Plan – Onondaga County, New York 2025 DRAFT

⁶ Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2023). A Policyholder's Guide to Severe Repetitive Loss. Retrieved from https://agents.floodsmart.gov/sites/default/files/fema_nfip-policyholders-guide-severe-repetitive-loss_brochure_07-2023.pdf.

⁷ Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2021). National Flood Insurance Program: Flood Insurance Manual. Retrieved from https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_nfip-all-flood-insurance-manual-apr-2021.pdf.



Table 12. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Properties

		Repetitive Loss Properties	Severe Repetitive Loss Properties		
Total Occupancy		Total	Occupancy		
ľ	0		0		

Occupancy Type: Single Family = Single family residence • Two (2)-Four (4) Unit Residential Building = Two (2)-four (4) unit residential building • More Than Four (4) Units Residential Building = Residential building with more than four (4) units • Non-Residential Building = Non-residential building with the exception of a mobile home or a single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Residential (2, 3, or 4 units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with two (2), three (3), or four (4) units seeking insurance on all units • Residential (5 or more units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with 5 or more units seeking insurance on all units • Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home = Residential mobile/manufactured home • Residential Condo Association = Residential condo association seeking coverage on a building with one (1) or more units • Single Residential Unit = Single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Non-Residential Unit = Non-residential unit within a multi-unit building • Non-Residential Unit = Non-residential unit within a multi-unit building

Table 13 summarizes the NFIP active policies and coverage in force data for the Town of Otisco.

Table 13. NFIP Policies

NFIP Policies	Insurance in Force	Total Claims Paid	Sum of Claims Paid
1	\$332	3	\$7,042

5.3. Participation Activities

The Town of Otisco NFIP participation over the last five (5) years includes the following:

- Provides the following services permit review, GIS, inspections, and engineering capability.
- Teaches property owners or other stakeholders about the importance of flood insurance through public outreach events, workshops, and/or seminars.
- Enforces local floodplain regulations and monitors compliance.
- Floodplain management regulations meet or exceed FEMA or State minimum requirements.

5.3.1. Regulatory

Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance

The Town of Otisco's Flood Damage Prevention Law (Local Law No. 19 of 2016) meets FEMA and State minimum standards and specifies requirements which include a floodplain permit that is required for all construction and other development in special flood hazard areas and a certificate of compliance. The Local Law was adopted to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the Town of Otisco, and to minimize public and private losses due to flood conditions in specific areas by provisions designed to:

- Regulate uses which are dangerous to health, safety and property due to water or erosion hazards, or which result in damaging increases in erosion or in flood heights or velocities.
- Require that uses vulnerable to floods, including facilities which serve such uses, be protected against flood damage at the time of initial construction.
- Control the alteration of natural floodplains, stream channels, and natural protective barriers which are involved in the accommodation of floodwaters.
- Control filling, grading, dredging and other development which may increase erosion or flood damages.



- Regulate the construction of flood barriers which will unnaturally divert floodwaters or which may increase flood hazards to other lands.
- Qualify for and maintain participation in the NFIP.

The objectives of this Local Law include to:

- Protect human life and health.
- Minimize expenditure of public money for costly flood control projects.
- Minimize the need for rescue and relief efforts associated with flooding and generally undertaken at the expense of the general public.
- Minimize prolonged business interruptions.
- Minimize damage to public facilities and utilities, such as water and gas mains, electric, telephone, and sewer lines, streets and bridges located in areas of special flood hazard.
- Help maintain a stable tax base by providing for the sound use and development of areas of special flood hazard so as to minimize future flood blight areas.
- Provide that developers are notified that property is in an area of special flood hazard.
- Ensure that those who occupy the areas of special flood hazard assume responsibility for their actions.

Substantial Damage

Substantial damage means damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its before damaged condition would equal or exceed 50% of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred. (Town of Otisco No. 19 of 2016)

Substantial Improvement

Substantial improvement means any reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, or other improvement of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50% of the market value of the structure before the "start of construction" of the improvement. The tern includes structures which have incurred "substantial damage", regardless of the actual repair work performed. The term does not, however, include either:

- Any project for improvement of a structure to correct existing violations of state or local health, sanitary, or safety code specifications which have been identified by the local code enforcement official and which are the minimum necessary to assure safe living conditions; or
- Any alteration of a "Historic structure", provided that the alteration will not preclude the structure's continued designation as a "Historic structure". (Town of Otisco No. 19 of 2016)

There are other local ordinances, plans or programs (e.g., site plan review) that support floodplain management and meeting the NFIP requirements.

6. HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION

In order for a community to successfully reduce long term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into day-to-day planning mechanisms and initiatives. Plan integration is the process by which communities critically assess the existing planning framework and align efforts with the goal of reducing long term risks and building a more resilient



community. It involves a two (2) way exchange of information and incorporation of ideas and concepts between hazard mitigation plans and other community plans. In particular, plan integration involves the incorporation of hazard mitigation principles and actions into other plans, and planning mechanisms into hazard mitigation plans. Plan integration involves community plans, policies, codes, and programs that guide development, roles, and responsibilities in implementing these capabilities. Additionally, plan integration is achieved through the involvement of key staff and community officials in collaborative hazard mitigation planning.

6.1. Existing Plan Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction incorporated the previous Plan update over the last five (5) years to demonstrate progress in local mitigation efforts. However, the Town of Otisco did not participate in the previous iteration of the Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan.

6.2. Potential Future Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction intends to incorporate this Plan update into planning mechanisms over the next five (5) years. The capability assessment presented in Section 4 of this Annex identifies codes, plans, and programs that provide opportunities for integration. **Table 14** outlines planning mechanisms/initiatives that do not currently integrate goals and recommendations of this Plan but provide opportunities to do so in the future.

Potential Integration Description Planning Initiative Hazard mitigation principles could be integrated into the development of future Zoning and Subdivision ordinances to guide appropriate land use within the Town. Portions of Ordinances this Hazard Mitigation Plan should be reviewed and considered during the development of these ordinances, as well as future improvements to the Town's Building Code. The Town of Otisco has considered the development of a Comprehensive Plan in the future. In the event that the Town does develop a Comprehensive Plan, the Hazard Mitigation Plan should be incorporated in the Town's Comprehensive Plan to enhance Comprehensive Plan the community's resilience by integrating strategies for risk reduction into land use, development, and infrastructure planning. Furthermore, hazard mitigation goals could be aligned with the vision of the Comprehensive Plan and hazard risk assessment information could be used to address vulnerabilities. The Town's EOP is undergoing an update in 2025. The hazards, associated **Emergency Operations Plan** vulnerabilities, impacts, and mitigation strategies identified in this Hazard Mitigation Plan could be integrated into the EOP update to enhance risk reduction. The Town could add a line item for mitigation projects/activities into the Municipal Municipal Budget Budget and capital improvement funding.

Table 14. Potential Future Integration

The Town's Local Planning Team will identify all relevant planning initiatives that are scheduled to be updated in the next year and during the annual update process of the Hazard Mitigation Plan. Additionally, opportunities to integrate key elements of the Hazard Mitigation Plan, specifically any relevant strategies, into the planning initiatives will be identified by the Local Planning Team. Mitigation actions were identified to promote plan integration in future revisions of this Plan.

7. SIGNIFICANT HAZARD PAST EVENTS

A complete risk assessment, including past incidents, for each identified hazard of concern can be found in **Volume** 1 of this Plan. A summary of past events is provided under each hazard profiles and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities.



8. HAZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Exposure and vulnerability to certain hazards affect the entire County and others are geographically defined. Although the entire County may be vulnerable to these hazards, their impacts may vary based on existing community conditions (e.g., underserved, or functional access needs populations may be more susceptible based on certain conditions, vulnerabilities, or needs).

Table 15 outlines the *unique vulnerabilities and impacts* for the Town of Otisco and only addresses the hazards that are relevant and unique to the jurisdiction. A complete risk assessment for each identified hazard of concern is in **Volume 1** of this Plan. Hazard mapping can be found in **Appendix A** of this Annex.

Table 15. Hazard Vulnerability and Impact Assessment

Hazard	Vulnerabilities and Impacts			
Hazaru	·			
Drought	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to drought; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.			
Earthquake	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to earthquakes; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.			
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	The Town has a significant aging population which is uniquely vulnerable to heat wave/extreme heat events.			
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure)	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to flooding; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.			
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to geological hazards; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.			
Harmful Algal Bloom	Indirect impact from Otisco Lake because it is the Town's main water source.			
Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	There are significant amounts of ash trees along Town roads. Ash Borer have killed trees and are still standing along many roadways/right of ways.			
Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm)	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to severe weather; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.			
Winter Weather	Areas within the Town that are located in higher elevations are more vulnerable to winter weather. These areas experience an increased intensity of wind, snow accumulation, and potential for whiteout conditions and blow downs. Additionally, ice storms affect the electrical grid.			
(blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter)	The Lord's Hill Apartment Complex on Route 80 and low income housing within the Town may not have backup power options. Therefore, in the event of an extensive power outage, these residents (including the underserved and at risk population) will not have access to heat, exposing this population to cold temperatures that can lead to illnesses or death. Additionally, the Town has a significant aging population which are uniquely vulnerable to winter weather.			
Wildfire (wildfire smoke)	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to wildfire; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.			



The Town evaluated whether vulnerability in hazard prone areas had increased, decreased, or remained the same for each natural hazard identified in this Hazard Mitigation Plan. Climate change, changes in population, infrastructure expansion, and economic shifts that can affect vulnerability were considered. For example, if planned development is in an identified hazard areas or is not built to the updated building codes, it may increase the community's vulnerability to future hazards and disasters. On the other hand, if development occurred with mitigation practices in place, the vulnerability may have remained the same or decreased. Additionally, shifting demographics (e.g., underserved population) were taken into consideration.

Table 16 outlines if climate change has increased or decreased the Town's vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to each natural hazard over the past five (5) years, and the effect of climate change in the future probability of occurrence and impacts from each natural hazard.

Table 16. Climate Change Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

Hazard	Vulnerability and Impact					
Current Vulnerability and Impact						
Drought	Increased					
Earthquake	Remained the Same					
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	Increased					
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure)	Remained the Same					
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	Remained the Same					
Harmful Algal Bloom	Increased					
Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	Increased					
Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm)	Increased					
Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter)	Increased					
Wildfire (wildfire smoke)	Remained the Same					
Future Vulnerab	pility and Impact					
Drought	Increase					
Earthquake	No Change Anticipated					
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	Increase					
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure)	No Change Anticipated					
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	No Change Anticipated					
Harmful Algal Bloom	Increase					
Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	Increase					
Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm)	Increase					
Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter)	No Change Anticipated					
Wildfire (wildfire smoke)	No Change Anticipated					



Table 17 outlines whether changes in population within the Town over the past five (5) years have increased or decreased the vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in population may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.

Table 17. Changes in Population Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

Hazard	Vulnerability and Impact					
Current Vulnerability and Impact						
Drought	Remained the Same					
Earthquake	Remained the Same					
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	Remained the Same					
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure)	Remained the Same					
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	Remained the Same					
Harmful Algal Bloom	Remained the Same					
Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	Remained the Same					
Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm)	Remained the Same					
Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter)	Remained the Same					
Wildfire (wildfire smoke)	Remained the Same					
Future Vulnerab	pility and Impact					
Drought	Increase					
Earthquake	No Change Anticipated					
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	Increase					
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure)	No Change Anticipated					
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	No Change Anticipated					
Harmful Algal Bloom	Increase					
Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	No Change Anticipated					
Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm)	Increase					
Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter)	No Change Anticipated					
Wildfire (wildfire smoke)	No Change Anticipated					

Table 18 outlines whether development over the past five (5) years has increased or decreased the Town's vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in development may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.

Table 18. Changes in Development Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

Hazard Vulnerability and Impact				
Current Vulnerability and Impact				
Drought Remained the Same				



Hazard	Vulnerability and Impact				
Earthquake	Remained the Same				
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	Remained the Same				
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure)	Remained the Same				
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	Remained the same				
Harmful Algal Bloom	Remained the Same				
Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	Remained the Same				
Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm)	Remained the Same				
Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter)	Remained the Same				
Wildfire (wildfire smoke)	Remained the Same				
Future Vulnerability and Impact					
Drought	No Change Anticipated				
Earthquake	No Change Anticipated				
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	No Change Anticipated				
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure)	No Change Anticipated				
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	No Change Anticipated				
Harmful Algal Bloom	No Change Anticipated				
Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	No Change Anticipated				
Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm)	No Change Anticipated				
Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter)	No Change Anticipated				
Wildfire (wildfire smoke)	No Change Anticipated				

8.1. Future Major Assets

Community assets should include anything that is important to the character and function of a community. Assets include people (i.e., underserved population); structures (i.e., new and existing buildings); community lifelines and other critical facilities; natural, historic, and cultural resources; and the economy and other activities that have value to the community. Although all assets may be affected by the hazards identified in this Hazard Mitigation Plan, the jurisdiction identified future major assets that may be more vulnerable and impacted by these hazards.

- Otisco Lake, a major source of drinking water for the Town, will be most vulnerable to harmful algal blooms.
- Any new assets (e.g., new construction in hazard prone areas) will be constructed to adhere to the latest building codes and standards, and mitigation to protect them from identified and anticipated hazards, especially those that are expected to increase due to climate change.



9. CRITICAL FACILITIES FLOOD RISK

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Title 6, Chapter V, Subchapter A, Part 502 sets forth local floodplain management criteria for State projects located within flood hazard areas. The law states that no such projects related to critical facilities shall be undertaken in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) unless built according to certain mitigation specifications, including being raised two (2) feet above the Base Flood Elevation (BFE). While all vulnerabilities should be assessed and documented, the State places a high priority on exposure to flooding.

Jurisdictions must identify all critical facilities, assess their vulnerabilities, and evaluate and ensure they are protected to a 0.2% chance (500-year) flood event. Critical facilities that are located in an SFHA and/or have been previously flooded, must be protected against a repeat of that flood or to the 0.2% chance flood event, which ever provides the greater protection. The Plan must document those critical facilities are protected to a 0.2% flood event, or previous worst case flood event. For those that do not meet this level of protection, the Plan must include a mitigation action to meet or go beyond this criterion or explain why it is not feasible to do so.⁹

Table 19 identifies critical facilities in the community located in the 100-year and 500-year floodplain.

Potential Loss from Addressed Exposure 100-Year Flood Event by Name <u>%</u> Type 100-500-% Content **Proposed** Structure Action Year Year Damage Damage None identified.

Table 19. Potential Flood Losses to Critical Facilities

10. HAZARD RISK RANKING

Table 20 presents the local hazard ranking for the Town of Otisco of all hazards of concern listed in **Volume 1** of this Plan. This ranking summarizes how hazards vary for this jurisdiction. As thoroughly described in **Volume 1** of this Plan, the ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property, and the economy. For further details on how the probability, extent, vulnerability, and impact factors in **Table 20** were calculated, please refer to Section 4.3 in **Volume 1** of this Plan.

It is important to note that the sub hazards for severe weather (i.e., strong winds/damaging winds, severe thunderstorms, tropical storm/hurricane, hail, and tornado), geological hazards (i.e., landslide, land subsidence, and mudboils), flood (i.e., riverine/creek flooding and ice jam, and urban/flash flooding), and winter weather (i.e., blizzards, lake effect snow, nor'easter, and ice storm, and cold wave/extreme cold) were individually ranked in the hazard risk ranking; however, severe weather, geological hazards, flood, and winter weather are each considered as the main hazard throughout this Annex and **Volume 1**.

Table 20. Town of Otisco Hazard Risk Ranking

⁸ New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (n.d.). Chapter V – Resource Management Services. Retrieved from https://dec.ny.gov/regulatory/regulatory/regulations/chapter-v.

⁹ New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services. (2022). 2022 New York State Hazard Mitigation Planning Standards. Retrieved from https://www.dhses.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2023/11/2022-nys-mitigation-planning-standards-final.pdf



Hazard Event	Probability Factor	Sum of Weighted <u>Extent</u> Factors	Sum of Weighted <u>Vulnerability</u> Factors	Sum of Weighted <u>Impact</u> Factors	Consequence Score	Total Risk Score (Probability x Consequence)
Winter Weather (Blizzards, Lake Effect Snow, Nor'easter, Ice Storm)	3	12	14	20	46	66
Flood (Urban/Flash Flood)	3	12	8	23	43	62
Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather)	3	12	16	14	42	61
Strong Winds/ Damaging Winds (Severe Weather)	3	12	11	16	39	57
Cold Wave/Extreme Cold (Winter Weather)	2	12	14	21	47	48
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	2	9	11	19	39	41
Drought	2	12	12	13	37	39
Invasive Species and Infestation	2	9	8	18	35	37
Flood (Riverine/Creek, Ice Jam)	2	12	6	16	34	36
Tropical Storm/Hurricane (Severe Weather)	1	9	16	24	49	27
Hail (Severe Weather)	1	6	16	14	36	21
Earthquake	1	6	16	12	34	20
Tornado (Severe Weather)	1	6	6	22	34	20
Landslide (Geological Hazards)	1	6	6	16	28	17
Harmful Algal Bloom	1	6	6	16	28	17
Dam and Levee Failure (Flood)	1	6	6	14	26	16
Wildfire (Wildfire Smoke)	1	6	6	14	26	16
Land Subsidence (Geological Hazards)	1	3	6	14	23	14



Hazard Event	Probability Factor	Sum of Weighted <u>Extent</u> Factors	Sum of Weighted <u>Vulnerability</u> Factors	Sum of Weighted <u>Impact</u> Factors	Consequence Score	Total Risk Score (Probability x Consequence)
Mudboils (Geological Hazards)	1	3	6	13	22	14

Consequence: Sum of <u>all</u> weighted factors.

Extent: Sum of the weighted <u>Extent</u> factors.

Vulnerability: Sum of the weighted <u>Vulnerability</u> factors.

Impact: Sum of the weighted Impact factors.

Total Risk Score* = Probability x Consequence

* Normalized to 100

	Total Risk Score Legend							
Classification	Probability Factor	Extent	Vulnerability	Impact	Consequence Score	Total Risk Score		
Low (L)	1	0 – 6	0 – 6	0 – 12	0 – 24	0 – 24		
Medium (M)	2	7 – 12	7 – 12	13 – 26	25 – 50	25 – 54		
High (H)	3	13 – 18	13 – 18	27 – 39	51 – 75	55 and above		

The legend—specifically the assignment of low, medium, and high—provides an additional means to qualitatively assess the probability factor, sum of weighted factors, and the total risk scores for each hazard. The Consequence Score represents the sum of the Extent, Vulnerability, and Impact Factors. The Total Risk Score is a measure of Probability and Consequence.



11. MITIGATION ACTIONS

This section includes the mitigation actions that were developed to address identified risks and vulnerabilities to hazards identified in this Plan. This Plan serves only to recommend mitigation measures based on the potential for risk reduction and available funding. Implementation of mitigation actions is dependent on risk reduction priorities, feasibility, and available funding. It is also dependent on the cooperation and support of the jurisdiction and/or department responsible for each action item. The Town of Otisco did not participate in the previous reiteration of the Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan; therefore, all mitigation actions are new.

The Town of Otisco agreed upon **eight (8)** mitigation actions that apply to the jurisdiction's properties where they have jurisdictional responsibility and authority. A summary of the Town's mitigation actions status is listed in **Table 21**.

Table 21. Town of Otisco Mitigation Action Summary

		δ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Status		Mitigation Action Total		
Continuous		0		
In Progress/Not Yet Completed		0		
No Progress/Not Yet Started		0		
New		8		
	TOTAL	8		
Complete		0		
Discontinued		0		
Mitiga	tion Acti	ons per Hazard		
Drought	3	Harmful Algal Bloom	3	
Earthquake	4	Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestmut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases)	4	
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat	3	Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm, nor'easter)	5	
Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee 5 failure)		Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold)		
Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils)	5	Wildfire (wildfire smoke)		

A detailed explanation of the Mitigation Strategy can be found in Section 5 of Volume 1.



		Conduct and facilitate community and public education and outreach for residents and businesses to include, but not be limited to, the following to promote and effect natural hazard risk reduction:							
		 Provide and maintain links to the Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan website, and regularly post notices on the municipal homepage referencing the Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan webpages. 							
Mitigation Action	ava		gation grant funding to mi			vners and neighborhood assoc tructing them on how they can			
			mail notification systems a gation grant funding, and p			better educate the public on duction measures.	flood insurance, the		
		rk with neighbonitigation grant		and busin	ess groups to dissem	inate information on flood ins	surance and the availability		
Action Number	ТО	O-1	Goal(s) Addressed		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Prioritization Score	15/15		
Year Added to Plan	20)25	Timeline (estimated)		Ongoing	Implementation Priority	High		
Hazard(s)) Mitigated					d, Geological Hazards, Harmi rm, Severe Winter Weather, V			
Projec	Project Status				New If Discontinued, provide reason. N/A				
	n efits 4voided)				L	ow			
Lead Agency / Orga	anization	Town of Otisco Board Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) Onondaga County Department of Plann					partment of Planning		
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap			N/A						
Estimated Co	ost	Low	Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time)						
Critical Facil (Critical Facility located in 19		No	Additional Details (optional)						



Mitigation Action	Where appro repetitive los		retrofitting or relocation of	structur	es in high hazard are	as, prioritizing structures that	have experienced
Action Number	ТО	O-2	O-2 Goal(s) Addressed		2, 3, 6	Prioritization Score	13/15
Year Added to Plan	20	25	Timeline (estimated)		Ongoing	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s)) Mitigated		Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Flood, Geological Hazards, Harmful Algal Bloom, Invasive Species and Infestation, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Weather, Wildfire				
Projec	t Status		New	If Di.	If Discontinued, provide reason.		
201	nefits Avoided)				Н	igh	
Lead Agency / Orga	nnization	Town of O	tisco Code Enforcement		Organization (If applicable) N/A		
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap					N/A		
Estimated Co	ost	High	Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time)				ne)
Critical Facil	•	No	Additional Details (optional) Identify facilities that are viable candidates for retrofitting base effectiveness versus relocation. Where retrofitting is determine viable option, consider implementation of that action based on funding.			g is determined to be a	



Mitigation Action		ctively support and participate in the implementation, monitoring, maintenance, and updating of this Hazard Mitigation Plan, as outlined, and defined in Volume 1.						
Action Number	ТО	O-3	Goal(s) Addressed		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Prioritization Score	15/15	
Year Added to Plan	20	25	Timeline (estimated)		Ongoing	Implementation Priority	High	
Hazard(s)) Mitigated		Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Flood, Geological Hazards, Harmful Algal Bloom, Invasive Species and Infestation, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Weather, Wildfire					
Projec	t Status		New If Discontinued, provide reason. N/A			A		
201	nefits Avoided)		High					
Lead Agency / Orga	nnization		sco Board, Town of Otisco de Enforcement	(N/A			A	
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If app	• •		N/A					
Estimated Co	ost	Low	Potential Fund Source	ling	General Fund (Staff Time)			
Critical Facili (Critical Facility located in 1%		No	Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action		nsure local plans include preparation for and management of the response to winter weather events in particular long term disruption of ower supplies and transportation infrastructure.						
Action Number	ТО	O-4	Goal(s) Addressed		1, 2, 6	Prioritization Score	11/15	
Year Added to Plan	20	25	Timeline (estimated)		1 to 2 Years	Implementation Priority	High	
Hazard(s)) Mitigated				Winter	Weather		
Projec	t Status		New If Discontinued, provide reason. N/A			A		
201	nefits Avoided)		Low					
Lead Agency / Orga	nnization	Town of Oti	sco Highway Department	epartment Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A				
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap			N/A					
Estimated Co	ost	Low	Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time)			ne)		
Critical Facil (Critical Facility located in 19		No	Additional Det (optional)	ails	Once completed, the plans will be updated on an annual basis.			



Mitigation Action	Enhancemen	chhance traffic control measures within rural Town roads that are subject to snow drifting and/or whiteout driving conditions. Inhancements can include, but are not limited to, automated weather-responsible speed limit signs, increasing the frequency of snow encing installations, and enhancing roadside visibility.						
Action Number	ТО	O-5	Goal(s) Addressed		1, 2, 6	Prioritization Score	11/15	
Year Added to Plan	20	25	Timeline (estimated)		1 to 2 Years	Implementation Priority	High	
Hazard(s)) Mitigated				Winter	Weather		
Projec	t Status		New	If Di.	viscontinued, provide reason.			
	n efits Avoided)		High					
Lead Agency / Orga	nnization		co Board, Town of Otisco de Enforcement	Ouganization N/A				
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap			N/A					
Estimated Co	ost	Medium	Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time)			e)		
Critical Facil (Critical Facility located in 19		No	Additional Details (optional) Once completed, the plans will be updated on an annual basis.			annual basis.		



Mitigation Action			ouilding codes to the most of surrounding floodplain con			ed necessary, update language	e specific to design
Action Number	TO	O-6	Goal(s) Addressed		1, 6	Prioritization Score	12/15
Year Added to Plan	20	25	Timeline (estimated)	6 M	Ionths to 1 Year	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s)) Mitigated		Earthquake, F	lood, Ge	ological Hazards, Sev	vere Storm, Severe Winter W	eather, Wildfire
Projec	Project Status		New If Discontinued, provide reason. N/A				A
241	nefits Avoided)		Low				
Lead Agency / Orga	nnization	Tow	n of Otisco Board	sco Board Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A			
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap			N/A				
Estimated Co	ost	Low	Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff			General Fund (Staff Tin	ne)
Critical Facility (Critical Facility)		No	Additional Details (optional)				



Mitigation Action		Update the Town's existing State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR) Law. The existing Law is from the 1970s and must be mended to current standards. Enable the Town to be more proactive towards environmental reviews for commercial development.						
Action Number	ТО	O-7	Goal(s) Addressed		1, 2, 4, 6	Prioritization Score	12/15	
Year Added to Plan	20	25	Timeline (estimated)		1 Year	Implementation Priority	High	
Hazard(s)) Mitigated				Flood, Geological H	azards, Severe Storm		
Projec	Project Status			If Di.	If Discontinued, provide reason. N/A			
201	nefits Avoided)		Medium					
Lead Agency / Orga	nnization	Tow	n of Otisco Board		Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A			
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap			N/A					
Estimated Co	ost	Low	Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time)			ne)		
Critical Facil (Critical Facility located in 19		No	Additional Det	ails	At the time of this Plan update, the Town has already implemented a moratorium for commercial development until the SEQR Law it updated.			



Mitigation Action	Establish sta	ndards for all u	ntilities (e.g., power lines) re	egarding	the removal of dead	ash trees within the right of w	vays.	
Action Number	ТО	O-8	Goal(s) Addressed		1, 5, 6	Prioritization Score	12/15	
Year Added to Plan	20	25	Timeline (estimated)		1 to 3 Years	Implementation Priority	High	
Hazard(s)) Mitigated		Invasive Species and Infestation					
Projec	t Status		New	If Di.	If Discontinued, provide reason.			
-	nefits Avoided)		Medium					
Lead Agency / Orga	nnization	Town of Oti	sco Highway Department		oorting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	Onondaga County Depar	tment of Transportation	
Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap					N/A			
Estimated Co	ost	Medium	m Potential Funding General Fund (Staff Time)			ne)		
Critical Facility (Critical Facility)		No	Additional Details (optional) Town, county, and state roads are littered with dead as Emerald Ash Borer which poses significant risk to the infrastructure, and human safety.					



APPENDIX A. HAZARD MAPS

The following hazard maps have been generated for the Town of Otisco – [enter hazards here]. These maps are based on the best available data at the time of the preparation of this Plan and are considered to be adequate for planning purposes. Maps have only been generated for those hazards that can be clearly identified using mapping techniques and technologies, and for which the Town of Otisco has significant vulnerability.

Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]
Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]
Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]
Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]
Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]
Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]
Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]
Figure #	[Enter map name and description, if applicable]



APPENDIX B. LETTER OF INTENT

Statement of Intent to Participate in the 2024 Onondaga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

The purpose of this letter is to establish commitment from, and a cooperative working relationship between, all participating jurisdictions in the development and implementation of the 2024 Onondaga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP). In addition, the intent of this form is to ensure that the Plan update is developed in accordance with Title 44 of the Federal Code of Regulations Part 201.6; that the planning process is conducted in an open manner involving community stakeholders; that it is consistent with each participating jurisdiction's policies, programs, and authorities; and that it is an accurate reflection of the community's values.

To meet this requirement and to help reduce the loss of life and damage to property in the event of a natural disaster, our municipality intends to participate in a federally funded grant initiative to update the 2024 Onondaga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

We understand that the planning process will include a limited number of meetings and/or calls between Planning Team representatives and representatives from participating municipalities and agencies. The subject of the meeting(s) will be to:

- · Inform participants on the needs and methods for identifying and prioritizing hazards;
- · Share information on hazards affecting local jurisdictions;
- Provide information related to local assets, plans/ordinances, hazard events and damages, new development, etc. within the jurisdiction; and
- Determine possible projects to reduce the impact of future incidents involving hazards which are prerequisites to municipalities later applying for hazard mitigation grant funds.

We recognize the importance of having an updated multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan to help safeguard the lives and property of our citizens and commit to participating in this process with Onondaga County.

Name of Jurisdiction: Town of Otisco

Name of Authorized Representative:

Glenn Hall

Primary Point-of-Contact (POC):

Name: Glenn Hall
Title: Supervisor
Department:

Phone Number: 315-243-9646
Email: ghall@townofotisco.com

Signature of Authorized Representative:

Secondary Point-of-Contact (POC):

Name: Tyler Knapp
Title: Councilman

Department: 315-663-1297

Phone Number: 315-663-1297 Email: tylerrossknapp@gmail.com

Please return this form to <u>jefferyharrop@ongov.net</u>, or mail to the Onondaga County Dept. of Planning, 335 Montgomery St, Syracuse, NY 13202. Questions, call Jeff at (315)435-2673.



APPENDIX C. PLAN ADOPTION

[Placeholder for adoption documentation after State and FEMA Approval]