

# **Developing Transit Oriented Communities**

with Regional Transit Corridor Planning

Stantec's Urban Places Group

024

 $\sim$ 

RENCE

z

00

Z O

DERA

ш

വ

ANNIN

0

ONONDAGA

# Agenda

- Introductions
- TOC 101
- Transit Planning Guide
- Mobility Hubs
- Q&A



# Introductions



### Adam Catherine

Mount Laurel, NJ

#### Principal

Transportation Planner Smart(ER) Mobility Group

### Ralph DeNisco

Boston, MA

Senior Principal Transportation Planner Urban Places Group Craig D. Sklenar Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Principal Urban Designer Urban Places Group



# WHY?

# THE WORLD HAS CHANGED.

# **A TREND TOWARDS TRANSIT-ORIENTED COMMUNITIES**

- DEMOGRAPHIC SHIFTS CONTINUE
- SHIFTS IN CONSUMER PREFERENCE IN HOUSING, JOB AND AMENITY EVEN POST COVID-19
- GROWING GOVERNMENT COMMITMENT TO RESILIENT INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSIT AT THE CENTER
- INCREASED POLITICAL, COMMUNITY AND DEVELOPMENT DEMAND FOR RETURN ON INVESTMENT OF TRANSIT



 $\bigcirc$ 

# **HOUSING NEEDS ARE CHANGING**

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ........... 100% \* ..................... .............. 80% ............. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ................... ...... 60% 40% ..... ...................... ....................... ...... ....................... 20% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ...... 0% \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \* \* ............... 1970 2019 2030s Singles and Couples Single Parents with Children Source: Stantec Two Parents with Children

#### SHARE OF TOTAL US HOUSEHOLDS:

024

 $\sim$ 





24

C E 20

z

ш

പ

ш

⊥⊥ Z

N CO

0

⊢∀

Ľ

ш

GFED

ANNIN

۲

Ο

A C

Ċ

∢

Δ

z 0

# **AFFORDABILITY REMAINS A PROBLEM**

Less than **40%** of US housing stock today matches the housing most new households are searching for/ can afford





### **LESSONS FROM THE PANDEMIC**

WHAT HAS CHANGED?

Greater interest in:

 Access to nature and public spaces

Adapting streets for outdoor dining

Remote work

 Accelerated challenges facing many retailers and small businesses

#### WHAT HAS <u>NOT</u> CHANGED?

Fundamental demographic, economic, mobility, and environmental trends that favor walkable, amenitized, socially-rich places

 The value of planning for our community's future 

# TOC IS 3D...









DUPLEXES TO TOWNHOMES TO MID-RISE AND HIGH-RISE - DENSITY IS IMPORTANT TO MAXIMIZE RETURN ON INVESTMENT OF TRANSIT. RIGHT SIZING DENSITY WILL BE ESSENTIAL.





 $\bigcirc$ 



DIVERSITY IS MORE THAN PEOPLE - THINK OF THE "WHO, WHAT WHEN AND WHERE" OF A TOD SITE.

# POPULATION

ACTIVITY



**BUILDING TYPOLOGY** 



 $\bigcirc$ 



THE DEVIL IS IN THE DETAILS - DESIGN CONSIDERS THE BUILT FORM IN A HOLISTIC MANNER - INCLUDING TRANSIT.





Source : Bimarch. 2008. *Wyspa Spichizów,* Gdańsk, Pologne.



Source : Stantec. 2018. *Southpark on Whyte*, Edmonton. Canada.



Source : Subarquitectura. 2006. *Tram stop and plaza,* Alicante, Espagne.

 $\bigcirc$ 

Р

ΝΟΝΟ





TYPICAL POLICY APPROACH



HOW POLICY SHOULD LOOK



### UNDERSTAND THE LOCAL MARKET

WHAT WORKS FOR LARGE CITIES MIGHT NOT BEST SUIT SMALLER MARKETS - PLAN AND DESIGN TO MEET COMMUNITY NEED AND OPPORTUNITY.



EN 2041, LE TRONÇON 6 ACCUEILLERA...





LIMITE DU SECTEUR À L'ÉTUDE	Ö	NOEUD DE TRANSPORT	-	TERRAIN OU BÂTIMENT VACANT	POTENTIEL À COURT/MOYEN TERME
E LIMITE DE TRONÇON	Θ	TERMINUS D'AUTOBUS	-	VALEUR DU TERRAIN > VALEUR	CENTRE COMMERCIAL
BOULEVARD TASCHEREAU	Ō	FUTURE STATION REM		DU BATIMENT	POTENTIEL À LONG TERME

### **OPERATIONS MATTER**

WHERE DO PEOPLE WANT TO GO? WHY? WHAT WILL GET THEM TO USE THE SERVICE? **PATRON EXPERIENCE + RELIABLE OPERATIONS = MODAL SHIFT** 



### **PUBLIC REALM MATTERS**

USE THE TRANSIT INVESTMENT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO INVEST IN THE CORRIDOR BUILDING TO BUILDING



 $\bigcirc$ 

### **COMMUNITY PLANNING MATTERS**

WE FOCUS ON COMMUNITY OUTCOMES - THIS IS NOT ABOUT ONE BUILDING, BUT THE BROADER COMMUNITY, THEIR NEEDS/CHALLENGES/OPPORTUNITIES/DESIRES



# What Does This Look Like?

#### **TASCHEREAU BOULEVARD TRANSIT PLAN** LONGUEUIL, QUEBEC, CANADA

TERRAIN OU BÂTIMENT VACANT

DU BÂTIMENT

VALEUR DU TERRAIN > VALEUR POTENTIEL À LONG TERME





MÉTRO

FUTURE STATION REM

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### **NEW BERN STATION AREA PLANNING** RALEIGH, NC, USA



 $\bigcirc$ 



RALEIGH, NC, USA



4  $\sim$ 0  $\sim$ ш C z ш К ш ш z Ο C z 0  $\vdash$ ∢ ۲ ш  $\Box$ ш ш Ċ ANNIN ۲ Ο C  $\triangleleft$ വ ∢ Δ Z O z 0

### **25CONNECTS - A TOD PLAN FOR W. 25TH STREET**

CLEVELAND, OHIO, USA



#### FIGURE 1: W. 25TH CORRIDOR STUDY AREA

#### BRT LINE

- BRTLINE
- ----- OTHER LINES
- CROSSING BUS LINE NUMBER
- PRIORITY STATION AREAS

0.25 0.5MILE

2024

ERENCE

ш

z



#### **25CONNECTS - A TOD PLAN FOR W. 25TH STREET**

CLEVELAND, OHIO, USA

#### **DESIGN GUIDELINES**





# **Transit Service Considerations**

# **Transit is Part of an Integrated Complete Street**

Good transit doesn't function alone. Instead, transit is a key component of an integrated Complete Street, along with other components like...



#### **On-Street Parking**



Columbus Avenue, Boston, MA (Stantec)

#### **Pedestrian-Friendly Sidewalks**



Queen's Quay, Toronto, CA (NACTO Transit Streets Design Guide)

**Protected Bike Lanes** 



Chicago, IL (CDOT)

# **Transit Follows (and Demands) Density**



പ

 $\bigcirc$ 

# Transit Planning Factors

**Reliability-** Do vehicles **arrive** on time?

**Speed-** How **quickly** can you get from here to there?

**Coverage-** How many **destinations** are accessible by transit?

Capacity- How many people can fit in one vehicle?

Research has found that people will **walk farther** for **better transit** (**citation**). Improvements in these factors is shown to generate more ridership.



**Frequency-** How **often** does a vehicle arrive at your stop?

# **Transit Types**



Charlotte, NC (Charlotte Observer)



### Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)

Light Rail (LRT)



#### San Francisco, CA (Stantec)

### **Key Bus Service**

Local Bus Service

ERVIEW

>0

# Light Rail

Rail Transit service using tram or streetcar vehicles typically operating at-grade

TRANSIT SERVICE OVERVIEW

Typical Density: **30+** Dwelling Units/Acre<sup>\*</sup>

Stop Spacing: Every 0.75-1 mile<sup>†</sup>

### Peak Frequency: **10 mins** or less\*

\*Southern Nevada High Capacity Transit Study, 2019 <sup>†</sup> Valley Transit Authority Light Rail Guidelines, NACTO



V I E W

Ľ

0

ERVIC

S

ANSIT

# **Bus Rapid Transit**

Bus transit service that shares features like level-platform boarding, off-board fare collection, and separated right-of-way with rapid transit services

Typical Density: 20-30 Dwelling Units/Acre\*

Stop Spacing: Every **0.25-0.5** miles<sup>†</sup>

### Peak Frequency: **10 mins** or less\*

\*Southern Nevada High Capacity Transit Study, 2019 <sup>†</sup>Bus Rapid Transit Standard, ITDP





# Key Bus Service

High-ridership, high-frequency bus service complemented by elements like transit signal priority, bus lanes, and high capacity buses

Typical Density: **15-25** Dwelling Units/Acre\*

Stop Spacing: Every 0.13-0.25 mile<sup>+</sup>

### Peak Frequency: 10-20 mins or less\*

\*Southern Nevada High Capacity Transit Study, 2019 <sup>†</sup> Transit Planning Best Practices, USF Center for Urban Transportation Research, 2009

≥ Ш

Ľ

ш S

ა Z

# Local Bus Service

Typical urban bus service providing access to destinations and further transit connections, accessible and comfortable for all users

Typical Density: **5-20** Dwelling Units/Acre\*

Stop Spacing: Every 0.13-0.25 mile<sup>+</sup>

### Peak Frequency: 30 mins or less\*

\*Southern Nevada High Capacity Transit Study, 2019 <sup>†</sup> Transit Planning Best Practices, USF Center for Urban Transportation Research, 2009





# Mobility Hubs: Extending the Reach of Transit

# Much more than a transit stop, **mobility hubs** are networks of alternative mobility options critical to a sustainable and equitable transportation future.



**Smart(ER)** Mobility

# Three levers of design

### What mobility hubs offer

#### Choice

Offer multiple transportation options such as public transit, cycling, scooters, and car share.

#### 2 Accessibility

Makes travel more convenient, reduces interruptions, and minimizes transition time.

#### 3 Scale

Demand-responsive, mobility hubs can be scaled up or down to fit market demand.

# Choice: Offer a range of options













Scooter and e-scooter rental/share



Transit card kiosk Rideshare

EV rental /charging

Comfort station















Delivery lockers Food outlet /kiosks

Public gathering places/plaza Pop-up business/ market Coworking spaces



### Access: Placemaking meets transportation



# Scale: They can fit almost anywhere



# What do mobility hubs mean for upstate New York?

- Extend the reach of transit by providing facilities and amenities for first mile/last mile modes.
- Address barriers to mobility for vulnerable populations by providing a range of affordable and accessible options.
- **Preparing communities** for the future of mobility by establishing infrastructure to support mobility as a service (MaaS).
- Improving the integration of land-use and transportation by seamlessly integrating community space with mobility options.

# **FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

### DESIGN FOR TOMORROW. MAX PURPLE BRT, CALGARY, AB, CANADA

# DON'T BE AFRAID TO EXPERIMENT

SCHOOL STREET

EVERETT, MA, USA

TAREA BURE

### **LASTLY - REMEMBER WHO THIS WORK IS FOR...** 25CONNECTS, CLEVELAND, OHIO, USA









rebro

TX



# Route 31 Transit Corridor Assessment: A context for the session

James D'Agostino

Director

Syracuse Metropolitan Transportation Council (SMTC)



# Who is the SMTC?

The Syracuse Metropolitan Transportation Council (SMTC) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Syracuse region.

Transportation planning efforts focused within Onondaga County, the towns of Hastings, Schroeppel, and West Monroe in Oswego County, and the Town of Sullivan in Madison County.



For more information, see our website: www.smtcmpo.org



### **Member Agency:**

- CNY Regional Planning and Development Board (CNY RPDB)
- CNY Regional Transportation Authority (CNYRTA)
- CenterState Corporation for Economic Opportunity (Centerstate CEO)
- City of Syracuse
  - Office of the Mayor
  - Common Council
  - Planning Commission
- New York State
  - Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC)
  - Department of Transportation (NYSDOT)
  - Thruway Authority (NYSTA)
  - Empire State Development
- Onondaga County
  - Office of the County Executive
  - Legislature
  - Planning Board

### **Non - Voting Members:**

- Onondaga Nation
- Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
- Madison County Board of Supervisors Chair
- Oswego County Legislature Chair

# What is a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)?

- A Metropolitan Planning Organization, or MPO, is a transportation policy-making and planning body made up of representatives of local, state, and federal government and transportation authorities.
- The Policy Committee is the designated MPO.
- The MPO is charged with the comprehensive, cooperative, and continuous transportation planning process for a metropolitan area.



# **Planning for Growth:**

- Real expected growth spurred by Micron and anticipated spinoff development
  - Previous planning was for little to no growth (sprawl without growth)
  - Now planning for many thousands of jobs and tens of thousands of <u>new</u> households
- Land Use decisions matter in a different way then before
  - Each new development matters and they can set the stage for a new vision
- Community members have been requesting "New" and/or "Enhanced" Transit Options
  (as a related factor to deal with new housing growth particularly in northern parts of Onondaga County)





# **New or Enhanced Transit:**

#### • Route 31 Transit Corridor Assessment:

A planning study that examines what land use development would likely have to look like to "work" with various transit options.

• Rail, Streetcar, Bus Rapid Transit, or simply enhanced transit service



# **New or Enhanced Transit:**

- Once land is developed and housing is built, changes are often too difficult so we have one shot to plan this right.
  - But what does right mean? Up to the local land use decision makers.
- The SMTC's transit corridor assessment will help visualize the intensity and the mix/type of housing and commercial development necessary to support transit options
- If we build like we have been building for past 30+ years enhanced transit in northern suburbs is unlikely to work successfully



Image source: Transit Street Design Guide, NACTO



# **SMTC Transit Assessment:**

- Stantec is leading our study which is just beginning.
- Their follow up presentation will highlight what transit corridor planning can look like and what it can result in (not for the Route 31 corridor specifically but in general)
- Please keep in mind we have the opportunity to plan and do something different that will live on for decades or we can keep doing the same thing.
- NYS = Local Land Use Control = It's up to You!
  - Local decision makers to decide and encourage the type of <u>development</u> they want to get the corresponding type of <u>transportation system</u> a community wants – *different* will not happen by accident

