

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF NEW YORK ONONDAGA COUNTY LEGISLATURE
COUNTY OF ONONDAGA

-----X

In the Matter of
REAPPORTIONMENT

-----X

Public Hearing
held in
LaFayette, New York
Friday, October 22, 2021

Reported by:
Mary Agnes Drury, RPR, NYACR, CLR

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S :

REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE MEMBERS

DAVID KNAPP

MICHELE SARDO

DUSTIN CZARNY

SHARON MORAN

- oOo -

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 MR. KNAPP: Good afternoon,
3 everyone. My name is David Knapp. I'm
4 Chairman of the Legislature and by the
5 Charter I'm a member of the Commission.

6 I wanted to welcome everybody to
7 LaFayette this afternoon. Thanks for
8 coming out. This is the second of six
9 public information meetings that we'll be
10 holding in this process and then the County
11 Executive actually has one of his own, so
12 we'll have seven in total.

13 Before I get started I'll just -- we
14 have three members of the Commission here
15 right now, so would you like to introduce
16 yourselves really quick.

17 MS. MORAN: Hi everybody, I'm Sharon
18 Moran, I'm Commissioner Number Two. I live
19 in Dewitt and I work at SUNY Environment
20 Science and Forestry.

21 MS. SARDO: Hi, I'm Michele Sardo,
22 I'm the Republic Elections Commissioner,
23 and I live in the Town of Geddes.

24 MR. KNAPP: Okay. So again, the
25 purpose of this meeting is to have an

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

exchange about your thoughts on how we draw the new election districts.

The current districts are in the back, the lines are in the back; the great big huge map is what was created ten years ago. None of us were part of that process ten years ago, so we're all going through this for the first time as well.

Feel free to get up and take a look at those as you wish. And there somewhere significant changes ten years ago as the legislature went from 19 seats to 17 seats. This year, this time around the legislature is not changing, so we're -- obviously, there have been some population shifts in the county, not really huge, but some towns grew, some towns shrunk a little bit, the City of Syracuse grew a little bit as well. So that's part of the process of looking at all of this.

So with that, why don't we go ahead and start the conversation. The first one up is David Harding.

MR. HARDING: Yes. Thank you for

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 allowing me to speak this evening. My name
3 is David, I'm a resident of the Town of
4 Manlius.

5 I want to focus my comment mainly on
6 the current way the current maps are set up
7 right now and how a lot of them kind of
8 break apart our communities and kind of
9 combine different parts of the county that
10 aren't really appropriate. You know, I'm
11 talking about city areas, suburban areas,
12 and rural areas.

13 As one example I would give would be
14 the Town of Dewitt, is split into four
15 different legislative districts for some
16 reason. Your district number 12 includes
17 the Towns of Tully, Fabius, Pompey, but
18 also a small part of Manlius, some of
19 Jamesville and Dewitt, and parts of outer
20 Comstock and south campus in the city.

21 It's hard to see what any of those,
22 you know, what a lot of those places have
23 to do with each other or why they ever
24 would be put together. You know, how
25 people in those communities can come

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 together and agree on a lot of things
3 doesn't make a lot of sense to me.

4 I think that when we look to create
5 new maps, we need to really make ones that
6 are contiguous, that make sense, that don't
7 look strange, and to try to keep the
8 communities kind of together, instead of
9 just combing things willy-nilly in ways
10 that makes sense.

11 I also want to comment, the person
12 is not here right now, but one of the
13 committee members in a newspaper article or
14 radio article said, "Not expecting any
15 monumental changes with these maps." You
16 know, that kind of creates this impression
17 whether it's true or whether it's false
18 that certain members of the committee have
19 already made up their mind with how these
20 maps will look without any community input;
21 and I think that's really unfortunately
22 something that other committee members
23 should avoid when speaking to the media.
24 Thank you for your time.

25 MR. KNAPP: Thank you. There are

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 some -- lots of rules that pertain to this
3 as we go through the process. Towns of
4 10,000 people; again, none of us were a
5 part of that mapmaking process, so I agree
6 with some of your points. You cannot break
7 up towns of less than 10,000 people; so
8 LaFayette, Tully, Fabius, Pompey, Otisco,
9 Stafford, Marcellus, Elbridge, just going
10 off the top of my head, Spafford, I don't
11 know if I mentioned that, but towns like
12 that, you're not supposed to break up.
13 Also, election districts cannot be broken
14 up, so that doesn't help some of the --
15 shape some of the districts, because
16 sometimes the election district are a
17 little bit out of shape as well to get the
18 right population in there for voting
19 places, et cetera, so just a couple of
20 comments there. Thank you, David.

21 Marguerite Dormer.

22 MS. DORMER: Hi, I'm Marguerite
23 Dormer, I'm a resident of the Town of
24 Fabius. I appreciate you having this
25 public hearing where people can discuss

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

their views.

My concerns are on the Commission itself and the Committee and how it was formed.

So I would just start with what's the rush? Prior to the new census data, the sitting legislatures signed a pledge in support of a non-partisan independent redistricting commission just before the 2019 local election.

Why are these same county legislators not keeping their pledge two years later? Apparently, the majority of the legislators have no desire to change the system, this pledge appears to have been a lie; what's changed, what's the unnecessary rush.

The newly elected county legislatures on November 2nd, will have plenty of time to point an independent redistricting commission.

The results of the resent Federal census data are not dependent on the November 2nd election. There is time to

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 address the changes in a thoughtful,
3 methodical, independent process with an
4 independent redistricting commission.

5 Onondaga County citizens signed the
6 Fair Maps Petition in favor of a
7 non-partisan independent redistricting
8 commission. This clearly points to the
9 bear maps process requested by the voters
10 of Onondaga County.

11 Let's wait until after the
12 November 2nd election results are verified
13 develop a non-partisan independent
14 redistricting commission that the Onondaga
15 County voters are requesting and honor the
16 pledge that the sitting legislatures agreed
17 to in 2019.

18 The current census data needs to be
19 incorporated in thoughtful, methodical
20 manner to produce a fair map needed for
21 Onondaga County. It is imperative that the
22 voters, not politicians determine the
23 district lines.

24 This independent non-partisan
25 commission can evaluate existing county

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 legislative districts for equity and
3 representation in relation to the
4 population. Thank you.

5 MR. KNAPP: Thank you. Elaine
6 Dexter. Or, I'm sorry, maybe I read that
7 wrong, Denton. Elaine Denton.

8 MS. DENTON: Hi, I'm Elaine Denton.
9 I live in the Town of Manlius in one of the
10 election districts that is not included in
11 district ten, I'm in the election district
12 of 12.

13 I wanted to start with a question.
14 What is the public hearing today on
15 exactly, because the public notice I would
16 say is unclear. Is this about the current
17 district map and what we would like to see
18 changed or not changed? Is this about the
19 reapportionment process?

20 I assume it's not about new map,
21 since we do not have these yet, which makes
22 sense, since this committee's first meeting
23 was only nine days ago.

24 So I will still with the first two
25 questions and I would ask that additional

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 public hearings across the county be made
3 once the new proposed maps are released.

4 I would ask that these public
5 hearing are scheduled at least 15 days
6 after the new proposed maps are released so
7 the public will have time to study them, to
8 gather their comments on them, and I would
9 hope that this committee listens to the
10 feedback before proposing a new map to the
11 county legislature.

12 This process should take time. I
13 would think this process would be conducted
14 over a few months, not weeks. There should
15 no rush. The new map will be in effect for
16 the next ten years, and our current map, I
17 would say, needs some work. Neighborhoods
18 and communities of interest should have
19 representation at the county level as much
20 as possible. They should not be split
21 apart and have four different
22 representatives while keeping the districts
23 that should be similar in size and
24 population.

25 We have time to look at the data, to

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 look at the geographic information and get
3 the public's input, and we should take that
4 time to create fair districts. Thank you.

5 MR. KNAPP: Thank you. Irene
6 Workman.

7 MS. WORKMAN: I apologize for my
8 pacing; I have an injury that makes it
9 difficult to stand or sit for very long, so
10 I will be saying my piece and probably
11 heading out the door.

12 I do want to thank you for the
13 opportunity to speak this afternoon. I am
14 going to express my dismay about the timing
15 of this meeting. This ensures that a lot
16 of people cannot make it as they are still
17 at work and unable to attend. They may
18 have wanted to be here, and this has made
19 it very difficult for them.

20 I'm also going to piggyback on some
21 of what a couple of other speakers said.
22 All the Democrats and nine Republicans
23 signed a pledge for non-partisan
24 redistricting.

25 Does the makeup of this committee

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 represent a non-partisan redistricting? I
3 don't think so, I'm pretty sure not, as a
4 matter of fact.

5 And, Mr. Knapp, you were one who
6 signed the pledge, as well as my
7 representative John McBride. So I'm
8 concerned that -- how can we trust you to
9 do what's in the best interest of our
10 county if we cannot trust you to keep a
11 pledge that you made two years ago; most of
12 you, this month. So there is a big concern
13 for me.

14 (Whereupon, Mr. Dustin Czarny enters
15 the public hearing.)

16 MS. WORKMAN: The fact that you do
17 not have a map here, a completed map here
18 for to us look at, I'm concerned, because
19 how are we supposed to -- we don't know
20 what it looks like. We don't know what
21 you're bringing us; yet, you're having
22 hearings with an old map hanging up.

23 So I would appreciate it if
24 additional hearings were held after you
25 created the new map. I would appreciate it

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

more if you didn't rush into this and allow a non-partisan redistricting to take place after the elections of November 2nd. Thank you.

MR. KNAPP: Nancy White.

MR. CZARNY: I want to apologize to everyone for being late; I'm sorry, I was stuck in traffic on the way over. I'm Dustin Czarny Democratic Elections Commissioner, also on the redistricting or Reapportionment Committee.

MR. KNAPP: Traffic out here?

MR. CZARNY: It was more inside the city.

MR. KNAPP: I apologize. I'm sorry.

MS. WHITE: Thank you for holding the hearing. I basically just wanted to reiterate everything that I have heard so far that I was going to say, so I'm not going repeat all of that.

But I do have two questions, and my questions are similar to what you heard: Why are you not adhering to the pledge that the legislators made when they signed the

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 non-partisan independent commission
3 agreement, and that would hold much more
4 transparency and accountability?

5 Anybody have an answer to that?

6 MR. CZARNY: So I will say that
7 these public hearing sessions, we were
8 instructed that we're not here to take
9 questions.

10 So we're here to hear from the
11 public and I don't think you'll get any
12 answers. You know, we are here to hear, so
13 I don't know that this is the right
14 appropriate forum for a back-and-forth.

15 MS. WHITE: Well, my other question,
16 so maybe you can just put this in your head
17 is: What factors or criteria are being
18 used to redraw the maps? Okay. Thank you.

19 MR. KNAPP: Thank you. Allan
20 Sustare.

21 MR. SUSTARE: My comment would have
22 required answers, and there won't be
23 answers, so I see no reason to ask the
24 questions.

25 MR. KNAPP: Okay. Again, the

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 thought process here was to have the old
3 maps so folks could make comments, comments
4 at the beginning, which I thought were
5 constructive comments about visions of
6 populations and some changes. Mark
7 Distler.

8 MR. DISTLER: Hi, I'm Mark Distler,
9 I'm a resident of LaFayette. I think like
10 most people in the room, sorry for those
11 who don't, I'm recently retired, which is
12 kind of odd that we're having a meeting
13 like this. I am wondering if you just
14 wanted retired people here.

15 I'm a senior vice president of a
16 large engineering firm that was
17 headquartered in Syracuse. I'm a
18 non-partisan person. I like to vote for
19 the person, I vote Democrat and Republican.

20 So when I hear about this
21 redistricting, this raised the flag for me
22 to say hey, here is a chance to be
23 non-partisan to get our politics in line
24 with the representation of the people. So
25 I thought maybe it's my time here to say

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 something, hopefully in concert with other
3 people that the Commission will hear us.

4 That we want non-partisan
5 redistricting. We want both parties to
6 express their support of what you guys come
7 up with. That's what I am hoping to hear.
8 I want another hearing when I get together
9 and you guys show me your work and give me
10 the rationale of why you believe it's
11 non-partisan, why you believe it's fairly
12 representative of the people, all of us
13 here.

14 And -- let's see, because I really
15 think a bipartisan redistricting is really
16 a threat to our democracy.

17 So not having the proposed maps here
18 was a yellow flag for me. And then not
19 having a non-partisan commission with
20 citizens, non-political people on the
21 Commission is actually a red flag for me.

22 So we're beginning to really kind of
23 poorly in this thing, we're really kind of
24 worried that we're not going to have a fair
25 representation of people.

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

So really what happens over the next two weeks? We only have two weeks before I decide whether I'm going to be non-partisan in my voting going forward.

So I am really looking forward to see the Republican party to step up. It seems like it is that party that is the party that wants to drag this thing without a non-partisan approach for this, and that's, again, a yellow flag for me. So thank you again for letting me speak.

MR. CZARNY: David, I think some clarification should be in order about the maps, when the maps are being presented and the hearings that might come after the maps, if that's okay.

MR. KNAPP: Yes, please go ahead.

MR. CZARNY: So the schedule as outlined by the Chairman Hulslander says that we will be presenting October 27th our draft maps. There are three hearings after that October 27th, 28th, and 29th, that are scheduled as of this point. The 27th and 28th are at night, the 29th is at

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 10:00 a.m., it's the County Legislature, as
3 well on the 27th, is it Saint Michael's
4 Church in Camillus on the 28th at
5 6:00 p.m., and East Syracuse Village Hall
6 at 10:00 a.m. on Friday the 29th.

7 So we plan on being at all of those.
8 And I believe several commissioners are
9 going to put in draft maps that should be
10 available for those hearings.

11 MR. KNAPP: We tried various times.
12 No time is good for everyone; we have
13 morning, we have afternoon, and we have
14 evening, you know, it's difficult, everyone
15 is busy. Brandy Witthoft.

16 MS. WITTHOFT: Hi. Thank you for
17 having me. I can't see you very well, but
18 if I my glasses down they fog up so I'll
19 try my best.

20 MR. KNAPP: I'm with you.

21 MS. WITTHOFT: Brandy Witthoft, I
22 live in the Village of Marcellus. I think
23 what most people have said echo my
24 sentiments as well. Maybe one or two
25 things that I would add is that, you know,

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 we only have a census every ten years. And
3 I think after the census, that's our
4 opportunity to really analyze the data that
5 has come back from the census. And from
6 what I can tell, the way that this
7 commission is being rushed through, there
8 is absolutely no time to analyze any data.
9 And I think that's really unfair for the
10 residents of this county. And that --
11 honestly, I think it's a dereliction of
12 duty of those of you who are public
13 servants, you have a duty to analyze the
14 data and create district maps that are fair
15 and competitive and representative; and by
16 not doing that, you're not doing your job.
17 Thank you.

18 MR. KNAPP: Sara Wall Bollinger.

19 MS. BOLLINGER: Thank you for the
20 opportunity to speak. I'm a resident of
21 the Town of Manlius, but during my day job
22 I work for the New York State Association
23 for Rural Health and I would like to speak
24 on behalf of rural communities.

25 I think that there's a tendency to

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 base things -- I mean, you are required to
3 base things on population, and that tends
4 to under-represent rural communities'
5 voice. And that by making districts that
6 are north/south, you end up, as was
7 mentioned earlier, getting suburban areas
8 into the district where in this case you
9 made districts more east/west and you had
10 LaFayette, Tully, Otisco, more like that,
11 that there would be a strong -- there would
12 be a couple of representatives with a
13 strong rural background from the southern
14 towns that I think would be able to carry
15 the message of rural resident more clearly
16 in the legislature. And then, at the same
17 time, allow maybe Dewitt to have something
18 that be more representing their interests
19 which are currently divided up. Manlius
20 has three districts, but it's still mostly
21 Manlius; Dewitt is all over the place, so I
22 think that's one to be definitely be
23 re-looked at.

24 And I would honor that the
25 Commission take note of the communities

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

that are still rural in Onondaga County and give them the opportunity to be heard in the county legislature.

I would also suggest waiting a little longer to finish. Thank you.

MR. CZARNY: Chairman, is this being recorded like the one earlier today? Is it is being video recorded? Thank you. I wanted to make sure.

MR. KNAPP: Our last speaker who signed up is Bryn Lovejoy-Grinnell.

MS. LOVEJOY-GRINNELL: Good afternoon. My name Bryn, and I'm a city resident. I live on -- I live in the Strathmore neighborhood, on the north side of the city.

First, I wanted to say that I understand that redistricting brings a lot of different people to the table and there are a lot of different ways you can do it; all of which might be characterized as fair looking from one perspective from the other; and that there is no one right map that everyone in this room or everyone in

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

our county would agree with.

So I have two points related to my concerns as a city resident in this redistricting process. One that I would ask, which echos many people here is to slow down the process to give more people the chance to weigh in.

I know there are some very hotly contested leg districts this year. There is some city races that are hotly contested; certainly, the mayoral race is overshadowing a lot of things in the city right now, and I think people's attention is not on this process. That may be purposeful, I'm hoping that it is not. But I think that giving people a little time outside of the election time period to really focusing on the redistricting process would be beneficial to get more people's voices heard; more people involved in the process.

But as a city resident my comments are focused on the impact on the city of redistricting. There are nine current leg

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 districts I think that have city land
3 within them, which is crazy to me, because
4 my district, the 15th, has parts of Solvay
5 in it, it has my neighborhood in it. It
6 would take me 15 minutes to drive to the
7 far end of that district.

8 And what I would suggest is that the
9 focus of redistricting, at least as it
10 pertains to the city, would be to group
11 people with similar interests vis-a-vis the
12 relevant pole. I think I agreed with the
13 last commenter who talked about rural
14 districts, you know, I would guess that
15 there are some rural concerns vis-a-vis the
16 county that would be important to be heard
17 and are not going to be diluted.

18 Similarly, there are city concerns
19 that may not be shared by those outside of
20 the fingers of those districts of the layout
21 in the suburbs that aren't going to be
22 shared, and the city voices are going to be
23 diluted in the process.

24 So I would simple ask that as it
25 pertains to the county, what people have

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 the most in common with regard to the
3 issues before the county, it should be a
4 governing purpose. I would submit should
5 be a governing purpose in the redistricting
6 of this city. Thank you.

7 MR. KNAPP: Okay. Bryn was last
8 speaker who signed up. Is there anyone
9 else who would like to make a comment?

10 Please, can I have get your name for
11 the list?

12 MR. SALEH: Sure. My name is Don
13 Saleh. I'm a resident of Pompey. And I
14 was happy to see that you were having this
15 hearing today and happy to be here to hear
16 people's comments, but I'm not sure why
17 you're having the hearing, because it is
18 clear to me that the process is moving
19 ahead. We hear that there are going to be
20 maps presented next week.

21 Every comment, almost every comment
22 we heard Mr. Knapp was, slow the process
23 down, go back and revisit the commitment to
24 non-partisan redistricting, and you're not
25 taking questions-and-answers, so I'm not

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 sure what this does. It may just raise
3 frustration levels for the people who came.
4 You need to go back and tell your fellow
5 legislators that what you're hearing is
6 cancel those meetings on the last part of
7 October, start this process all over, and
8 take it slow and live to your commitment
9 for unbiased, non-partisan redistricting.

10 MR. KNAPP: One more?

11 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can I just ask a
12 question about the process? How will the
13 Commissioners know what we're saying today;
14 they're not here.

15 MR. KNAPP: We have a stenographer
16 who is getting down every --

17 AUDIENCE MEMBER: So they have notes
18 on our comments?

19 MR. KNAPP: Absolutely.

20 MR. CZARNY: It is also being video
21 recorded, which will be posted to the
22 reapportionment website, as well as the
23 county leg Facebook page, so...

24 MR. KNAPP: So on the legislature
25 website there is a reapportionment link

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

where you can just click on that and you can make comments. You can look for information or request information for anything else, and we'll be checking that often obviously. Yes, Legislator Kuhn?

MS. KUHN: Yes, I have, again, a question about process. So I understand about not taking back-and-forth right now, but when the maps are presented, if people have questions about, you know, the rationale, will that rule change so that people can --

MR. KNAPP: I envisioned a process kind of like they did with the I-81 situation where you have the maps up and folks looked and we'll be, you know, folks will be standing there and having a discussion.

MS. KUHN: Oh, okay, so not like this?

MR. KNAPP: That's my vision.

MR. CZARNY: I their that vision, I would like to get back and enjoy back-and-forth questions after the maps are

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

done. I think, like many of you, I was unsure of what these meetings today would be, because we don't have the maps.

So I think the thought was that we would hear about what you either like or don't like of the old maps, and then hopefully that will inform our decisions in our process --

MR. KNAPP: Which we will look at.

MR. CZARNY: Yeah, we did, and we did today, too. I know I'll be taking those comments into account.

MR. KNAPP: Okay. Any others?

(Woman signing up to speak.)

MS. GLEISNER: I'm just -- my name is Jeanie Gleisner, I'm a resident of LaFayette and I just wanted to add my voice to a lot of what I've heard here regarding the timing of this whole thing.

That's my big concern is that as citizens we have so little input in this process. We're here, we can make comments, but to have this thing advance through this whole process in a matter of two weeks, I

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 don't see how that's really participatory,
3 certainly not anything like the I-81
4 process, which went on for four years.

5 This is too fast. So my comment is:
6 Why is it too fast, and can't we slow this
7 down and do it on a more non-partisan open
8 process getting feedback from the public.
9 You know, I didn't put my name on the list
10 because when I walked in I was asked if you
11 wanted to speak. Well, I didn't know if I
12 wanted to speak, because I know nothing
13 about the process so far.

14 So, you know, we need time to digest
15 the old maps, what the issues are. We need
16 to ask you questions, what are the issues
17 and get answers and then be able to think
18 about that, and then be able to participate
19 with some informed knowledge of the process
20 and the impacts.

21 So I agree. And the biggest comment
22 I want to make is let's slow this down and
23 do it well. Once every ten years is not a
24 lot of opportunity for making corrections
25 to the mistakes that are there.

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. KNAPP: Okay.

MS. BURTON: Can I speak and then I'll sign?

MR. KNAPP: Sure.

MS. BURTON: My name is Elizabeth Burton, I live in the Town of Marcellus, and I agree with everything that's been said here so far.

But I also want to say that over the last few months, since the last election I've listened and I'm sure we have all read about and listened to with increasing dismay with the efforts to restrict voting and to make elections more difficult for people and to make them then less representative as well. And states like Texas, Georgia, Alabama; it goes on and on.

And so I would like to see, I've been very happy that I live in New York State where many of those problems don't exist and we don't see them even beginning to be existing, and that means we have to hold ourselves to a higher standard; and to me, that's an independent, non-partisan

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 committee to create these maps, and I hope
3 we can go back to that. Thank you.

4 MR. CZARNY: You have to sign that.

5 MR. KNAPP: I can catch you
6 afterwards, that's fine.

7 All right. So if there are no other
8 comments, we'll adjourn the public hearing
9 parts. If you want to stick around for a
10 few minutes and take a look at the map, you
11 know, talk about the map or whatever, you
12 know, that's fine. Otherwise, we'll be
13 putting together some proposed solutions in
14 the next several days and we'll go from
15 there. Thank you very much.

16 (Proceeding concluded.)

17 - oOo -
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX

SPEAKERS	PAGE
David Harding	4
Marguerite Dormer	7
Elaine Denton	10
Irene Workman	12
Nancy White	14
Allan Sustare	15
Mark Distler	16
brandy Witthoft	19
Sara Wall Bollinger	20
Bryn Lovejoy-Grinnell	22
Legislator Kuhn	27
Jeanie Gleisner	28
Elizabeth Burton	30

- oOo -